## Conducting a Water Application Uniformity Evaluation for a Micro Irrigation System in the Nursery

Dr. R. Tom Fernandez and Thomas A. Dudek\*

More container nurseries in Michigan are utilizing micro-irrigation to water plants. Testing micro irrigation system uniformity should be periodically done and is easy to test as well. All you need to do is determine the amount of time it takes to fill a container from at least 18 emitters in the irrigation zone being tested, a few calculations and reference to a graph. (Fig. 1) The graph is called a uniformity nomograph and was developed by Bralts and Kesner (1983).



(Fig. 1) Bralts and Kesner, 1983

Steps to conduct Distribution Uniformity check for microirrigation system.

- 1. Have a small container capable of holding, 8 to 12 ounces, and a stopwatch or watch with a second hand.
- 2. Randomly select at least 18 emitters within an irrigation zone. If you decide to use more than 18, do so in multiples of six (see step 4).
- **3.** Time how long it takes to fill the container from each emitter.
- 4. Add together the lowest 1/6 of the times it takes to fill the bottle (in the case where 24 emitters are tested, this would be the lowest 4).
- 5. Add together the highest 1/6 of the times it takes to fill the bottle (in the case where 24 emitters are tested, this would be the highest 4).
- 6. Plot the sums on the nomograph (Figure 1). If the sums are, too large to fit the scale of the nomograph you can divide both the highest and lowest by a common number, if they are too small you can multiply by a common number.
- 7. Determine the water application uniformity at the intersection of the two lines passing through these points.

## Examples to Help You Understand the Process

For example, **(Table 1.)** shows the time it took to fill the same sized bottle from 24 emitters for two systems. In **System 1**, the lowest 4 (1/6 of 24) of the times are labeled with one (\*) and the highest 4 (1/6 of 24) of the times are labeled with two (\*\*).

Distribution Uniformity for two Individual Plant Emitter Systems (Table 1.)			
System 1		System 2	
	Time to		Time to
Emitter	Collect 250 ml	Emitter	Collect 250 ml
Number	(seconds)	Number	(seconds)
1	147 *	1	212
2	456*	2	226
3	211	3	204
4	153*	4	218
5	447*	5	197*
6	215	6	231**
7	202	7	215
8	228	8	203*
9	250	9	199*
10	199	10	224
11	206	11	216
12	233	12	227*
13	151*	13	206
14	455*	14	208
15	149*	15	222
16	211	16	185*
17	222	17	218
18	230	18	229**
19	147*	19	207
20	213	20	215
21	217	21	219
22	214	22	221
23	200	23	232**
24	430**	24	216
Avg.		Avg.	
time	241.0833333	time	214.5833333
Avg.		Avg.	
ml/sec	1.036985828	ml/sec	1.165048544
Avg.		Avg.	
ml/min	62.21914967	ml/min	69.90291262
Avg.		Avg.	
GPH	0.016425856	GPH	0.018454369
Avg. GPH	0.985551331	Avg. GPH	1.107262136

\*=lowest 1/6 of the Measurement Avg. = 149 \*\*=highest 1/6 of the Measurement Avg. =447 \*= lowest 1/6 of the Measurement Avg. = 196 \*\*= highest 1/6 of Measurement Avg. = 230

Michigan State University AgBioResearch www.agbioresearch.msu.edu

To contact an expert in your area, visit people.msue.msu.edu, or call 888-MSUE4MI (888-678-3364).

MSU is an affirmative-action, equal-opportunity employer. Michigan State University Extension programs and materials are open to all without regard to race, color, national origin, gender, gender identity, religion, age, height, weight, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, marital status, family status or veteran status. This information is for educational purposes only. Reference to commercial products or trade names does not imply endorsement by MSU Extension or bias against those not mentioned.

The sum of the lowest four times for **System 1** is 149 seconds and the sum of the highest 4 times for system 1 is 447 seconds. Plotting these 2 points on the nomograph shows the distribution uniformity is in the poor range (60 - 70%). The sum of the lowest four times for **System 2** is 149 seconds and the sum of the highest 4 times for system 2 is 230 seconds. Plotting these 2 points on the nomograph shows the distribution uniformity is in the excellent range (90 - 100%).

**System 2** is in very good shape and nothing needs to be done except to periodically recheck to ensure distribution uniformity is maintained. **System 1** needs to be fixed. Several things can be causing problems in **System 1**, such as:

- Inadequate irrigation system operating pressure for the nozzles being used. Emitters come with pressure specifications and operating outside of specified ranges can result in poor distribution uniformity. Pressure compensating emitters can help but still have specific pressure ranges for proper water delivery. If the pump is supplying too much or too little pressure, the emitters will not perform properly. Adjusting the delivery pressure at the pump will solve this problem. If the pump pressure has to be high to supply other irrigation blocks in-line pressure reducers can be used and are inexpensive. If the pump pressure cannot be increased, further the irrigation block is too large and should be split into smaller blocks.
- 2. Improper selection of emitters. Instead of adjusting the pump, pressure different emitters can be selected with pressure specifications that fit what the pump delivers. Also, all emitters within a block should ideally be from the same manufacturer with the same delivery rate (the same model).
- 3. Changes in system components over time. Emitter orifices wear, pumps become less efficient, pressure regulators fail. Proper maintenance is essential and components should be serviced or replaced when they no longer meet specifications. Emitters are the easiest and least expensive to replace and will change more rapidly than other system components. Since you know the time to fill, a given volume from your measurements you can see if this is the delivery rate specified by the emitter. To determine the gallons per hour (GPH) being delivered by the emitters you measured divide the ounces you collected by the time it took to collect, multiply by 3600 to convert seconds to hours and divide by 128 to convert ounces to gallons. For emitter 1, System 2 this would be: 10 / 226 \* 3600 / 128 = 1.24 GPH

(See **Table 1.** for calculated GPH for **System 1 and 2** emitters). If you are using three GPH emitters then most of the emitters measured in system 1 are very close. For system 2, many of the emitters are substantially out of range. This could be due to heavy wear and/or old emitters or the wrong emitters with different delivery rates were installed.

4. Emitter clogging. Emitters are clogged with a variety of objects from sand and mineral deposits to insects. Sometimes you can easily see what is clogging the

emitter and easily remove the item with a wire or by taking the nozzle off and cleaning it. However, since most emitters are plastic, cleaning will cause additional wear.

- 5. Browsing. Yes, even irrigation is not immune; animals have been known to chew on emitters.
- **6.** In-line filters. Some emitters have filters, clean these out regularly.
- 7. Wind has very little effect on most microirrigation but can affect spray stakes and other spray type systems to some degree.
- 8. Improper selection of pipe diameters. This is the most expensive mistake to remedy. Replacing above-ground pipe is relatively inexpensive but replacing buried pipe is costly. In some cases, minor changes in system design can solve the problem.

Even though properly designed microirrigation systems are much more efficient than large volume overhead irrigation, distribution uniformity is still important. An irrigation system that does not provide water uniformly will result in overwatering some plants and under-watering others leading to poor plant health. Proper uniformity will also help with water conservation even in efficient microirrigation systems. Distribution uniformity is easy to test and should be done twice a year. Testing distribution uniformity does not replace regular checking to ensure emitters are not clogged and other routine checking.

## References

Bralts, V.F. and C.D. Kesner. 1983. Drip irrigation field uniformity estimation. Trans. Of the Amer. Soc. Ag. Eng. 26:1369-1374

Haman, D.Z., A.G. Smajstrla and D.J. Pitts. 1997. Uniformity of sprinkler and microirrigation systems for nurseries. Bulletin 96-10:1-7, Fla. Coop. Ext. Ser., Univ. of Fla., Gainesville, Fla. Haman, D.Z. and T. H. Yeager. 1998. Field evaluation of container nursery irrigation systems: Measuring uniformity of water application of microirrigation systems. Fact Sheet FS98-1, Fla. Coop. Ext. Ser., Univ. of Fla., Gainesville, Fla.

Extension Specialist, Department of Horticulture, A216 Plant & Soil Science Building, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824-1325 fernan15@msu.edu (517) 355-5191 ext. 1336.

\*Senior District Horticulture and Marketing Educator, MSU Extension-Ottawa County, 12220 Fillmore Street, Suite 122, West Olive, MI 49460 dudek@anr.msu.edu (616) 994-4580

To contact an expert in your area, visit people.msue.msu.edu, or call 888-MSUE4MI (888-678-3364).

AgBioResearch www.agbioresearch.msu.edu

MSU is an affirmative-action, equal-opportunity employer. Michigan State University Extension programs and materials are open to all without regard to race, color, national origin, gender, gender identity, religion, age, height, weight, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, marital status, family status or veteran status. This information is for educational purposes only. Reference to commercial products or trade names does not imply endorsement by MSU Extension or bias against those not mentioned.